

1                   **Performance of the VITEK MS v2.0 system in distinguishing**  
2                   ***Streptococcus pneumoniae* from non-pneumococcal species of the**  
3                   ***Streptococcus mitis* group**  
4  
5

6 John A. Branda<sup>1,2#</sup>, Rachelle P. Markham<sup>1</sup>, Cherilyn D. Garner<sup>3</sup>, Jenna A. Rychert<sup>1,2</sup> and  
7 Mary Jane Ferraro<sup>1,2</sup>

8  
9  
10 Department of Pathology, <sup>1</sup>Massachusetts General Hospital and <sup>2</sup>Harvard Medical  
11 School, Boston, Massachusetts  
12 <sup>3</sup>R&D Microbiology, bioMérieux, Inc., Hazelwood, MO

13  
14 Running title: Pneumococcal vs non-pneumococcal strep using mass spec

15  
16 #Corresponding author address:

17 55 Fruit St., GRB-526

18 Boston, MA 02114-2696

19 Telephone: 617-726-3611

20 Fax: 617-726-5957

21 Email: [jbranda@partners.org](mailto:jbranda@partners.org)

22

23 **Abstract**

24 The VITEK MS v2.0 MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry system accurately distinguished *S.*  
25 *pneumoniae* from non-pneumococcal *S. mitis* group species. Only 1 of 116 non-  
26 pneumococcal isolates (<1%) was misidentified as *S. pneumoniae*. None of 95  
27 pneumococcal isolates was misidentified. This method provides a rapid, simple means of  
28 discriminating among these challenging organisms.

29

30 **Short-form paper**

31 Using conventional phenotypic identification methods, it has been challenging for  
32 clinical laboratories to distinguish accurately between bacterial species within certain  
33 groups, such as the coagulase-negative staphylococci or the nonfermenting gram-negative  
34 bacilli. The *Streptococcus mitis* group is another set of closely-related species between  
35 which conventional identification methods cannot reliably differentiate. The most  
36 important pathogen within the *S. mitis* group, *S. pneumoniae*, is conventionally  
37 distinguished from the others (*S. mitis*, *S. oralis*, *S. pseudopneumoniae*, *S. sanguinis*, *S.*  
38 *parasanguinis*, *S. gordonii*, *S. cristatus*, *S. infantis*, *S. peroris*, *S. australis*, *S. sinensis*, *S.*  
39 *orisratti*, *S. oligofermentans*, and *S. massiliensis*) based on its susceptibility to optochin  
40 or its solubility in bile. However, both the sensitivity and specificity of optochin  
41 susceptibility testing are suboptimal. Some *S. pneumoniae* strains are optochin resistant  
42 [1-3], and closely related species such as *S. pseudopneumoniae* or *S. mitis* can exhibit  
43 optochin susceptibility, particularly when incubated in ambient air rather than CO<sub>2</sub>-  
44 enriched air [4-8]. Likewise, the most convenient method of bile solubility testing, the  
45 plate method, is relatively non-specific [9] and some strains of *S. pneumoniae* are bile

46 insoluble even by the tube method [10] or the disk method [11]. Even when larger  
47 batteries of phenotypic tests are applied, such as the API rapid ID 32 Strep strip or the  
48 VITEK 2 GP card (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France), discrimination among species  
49 within the *S. mitis* group is poor [12]. In fact, *S. mitis* group species are so closely related  
50 that the AccuProbe *Streptococcus pneumoniae* assay (Hologic Gen-Probe, Inc., San  
51 Diego, CA), a commercially-available DNA probe hybridization test, cannot differentiate  
52 between *S. pneumoniae* and *S. pseudopneumoniae* isolates [4, 6], and 16S rRNA gene  
53 sequencing cannot reliably distinguish between *S. pneumoniae*, *S. mitis* and *S. oralis* [13,  
54 14].

55         Recent investigations have demonstrated the ability of matrix-assisted laser  
56 desorption/ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) to distinguish between  
57 closely related bacterial species with a high degree of confidence [15-23]. Yet with  
58 regard to the *S. mitis* group species initial reports have been disappointing, inasmuch as  
59 one widely-used, commercially-available MALDI-TOF MS platform is prone to  
60 misidentify *S. mitis*, *S. oralis* or *S. pseudopneumoniae* as *S. pneumoniae* [7, 11, 24-29].  
61 However, other commercial platforms may perform differently in this regard. In  
62 particular, a recent multi-center evaluation of the bioMérieux VITEK MS v2.0 system  
63 demonstrated accurate separation between 51 *S. pneumoniae* strains and 71 non-  
64 pneumococcal strains from the *S. mitis* group, although for one *S. mitis* isolate the system  
65 did report a split identification that included *S. pneumoniae* among the alternatives [30].  
66 Here, we used a larger collection of *S. mitis* group clinical isolates to assess the  
67 performance of the bioMérieux VITEK MS v2.0 system in differentiating *S. pneumoniae*  
68 from other *S. mitis* group species.

69           The study included 211 *S. mitis* group clinical isolates selected from frozen  
70 archives at Massachusetts General Hospital. None of the study isolates overlapped with  
71 those entered into the recent multi-center evaluation of the bioMérieux VITEK MS v2.0  
72 system [30]. In our laboratory, all clinical isolates identified as *S. pneumoniae* by  
73 conventional phenotypic methods during calendar year 2012 had been archived, and 100  
74 of these isolates were randomly selected for the present study by choosing every second  
75 unique isolate recovered between January and November 2012. Most of the isolates had  
76 been recovered from respiratory or blood specimens, and had been identified prior to  
77 archiving as *S. pneumoniae* by examination of colonial and microscopic morphology, and  
78 optochin susceptibility testing in CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched air. Also included in the present study  
79 was a convenience sample of 111 archived clinical isolates that had been identified prior  
80 to archiving as *S. mitis* based on conventional phenotypic methods, which included  
81 examination of colonial and microscopic morphology, and characterization using the API  
82 20 Strep strip (bioMérieux). Between approximately 1995 and 1998, all *S. mitis* isolates  
83 that required full species identification for clinical purposes (most of which had been  
84 recovered from blood or deep tissue) were archived in our laboratory. For the present  
85 study, we selected the first 111 unique, viable isolates we could locate in the frozen  
86 archive.

87           Each of the 211 isolates included in this study was identified using the VITEK  
88 MS v2.0 system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) after overnight growth on tryptic  
89 soy agar with 5% sheep blood (Remel, Lenexa, KS). Isolated bacterial colonies were  
90 applied (without prior extraction) to a single well of a disposable target slide, then  
91 overlaid with a matrix solution and air-dried prior to analysis, as described previously

92 [30]. If the VITEK MS method provided a split-identification or no identification, the  
93 isolate was re-analyzed once. If a single, species-level identification was provided upon  
94 repeat analysis, this identification was considered to be the final VITEK MS result; if a  
95 split identification or no identification was provided upon repeat analysis, no further  
96 analysis was performed.

97 The outcome of identification using the VITEK MS was compared with the  
98 original (pre-archiving) phenotypic identification (Table 1). When the VITEK MS  
99 identification matched the original phenotypic identification, no further testing was  
100 performed. When there were discrepancies (N=32), supplementary methods were applied  
101 to arrive at a definitive identification. These included bile solubility testing by the tube  
102 method; parallel optochin susceptibility testing in ambient and CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched air; analysis  
103 using the VITEK 2 GP card (bioMérieux); application of the AccuProbe *Streptococcus*  
104 *pneumoniae* hybridization probe (Gen-Probe); and/or sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA  
105 gene [31, 32], *sodA* gene [33], *groEL* gene [34] and/or *recA* gene (Table 2) [35]. All  
106 gene sequences were edited using ChromasPro software (Technelysium, South Brisbane,  
107 Australia) and analyzed using NCBI BLASTn and leBIBI V5 [36]. Gene sequencing and  
108 analysis was performed by a scientist (CDG) at bioMérieux, who was blinded to the  
109 VITEK MS results. All other methods were performed by independent investigators at  
110 Massachusetts General Hospital. Using this approach, it was determined that the present  
111 study included 95 *S. pneumoniae* isolates and 116 non-pneumococcal isolates from  
112 within the *S. mitis* group (93 *S. mitis/oralis*, 12 *S. parasanguinis*, 2 *S. australis*, 2  
113 probable *S. australis*, 3 *S. pseudopneumoniae*, 2 probable *S. infantis*, 1 *S. cristatus*, and 1  
114 *S. sanguinis*).

115           Among 95 *S. pneumoniae* isolates, 94 (99%) were identified as *S. pneumoniae* by  
116 the VITEK MS v2.0 system; the remaining *S. pneumoniae* isolate was not identified by  
117 the VITEK MS (Table 1). Among 116 non-pneumococcal *S. mitis* group isolates, 102  
118 (88%) were correctly identified to the species-level by the VITEK MS v2.0 system. Only  
119 one of these 116 isolates (<1%), a probable *S. infantis* isolate according to sequence  
120 analysis, was misidentified as *S. pneumoniae* (Table 2). Six additional non-  
121 pneumococcal isolates were assigned the correct genus but incorrect species by the  
122 VITEK MS v2.0 system; in each case, however, the incorrect identification placed the  
123 isolate within the *S. mitis* group and did not classify it as *S. pneumoniae* (Table 2). Seven  
124 non-pneumococcal isolates were assigned a split identification by the VITEK MS, but *S.*  
125 *pneumoniae* was never included among the alternatives (Table 2). Notably, 6 of the 7  
126 misidentified isolates, and 2 of the 7 isolates assigned a split identification, could not be  
127 definitively identified by conventional phenotypic methods. Rather, these isolates  
128 required nucleic-acid sequence-based analysis of multiple gene targets for confident  
129 identification, demonstrating the challenging nature of these particular isolates. Also, 5  
130 of the 7 misidentified isolates, and 1 of the 7 isolates assigned a split identification were  
131 *S. australis* or *S. infantis* isolates according to the results of DNA sequence analysis;  
132 these species are not represented in the VITEK MS v2.0 system database.

133           A limitation of this study is the fact that all clinical isolates were collected at a  
134 single site (Massachusetts General Hospital), and thus there was not broad geographic  
135 representation. However, the present study's findings are similar to those of a recent  
136 multi-center study in which the VITEK MS v2.0 system's performance was determined  
137 at 5 geographically diverse trial sites [30]. Compared with the multi-center study, the

138 present study included a larger number of *S. mitis* group clinical strains, none of which  
139 had been included in the multi-center study. A second limitation of the present study is  
140 the potential for selection bias. The non-pneumococcal isolates, unlike the *S.*  
141 *pneumoniae* isolates, were chosen by convenience rather than by a truly random selection  
142 process. And, although the *S. pneumoniae* isolates were chosen randomly and were  
143 unique isolates (only one isolate from an individual patient was included), it is possible  
144 that a clone (identical strain) could have been circulating among some of the patients  
145 from whom the isolates were derived. Finally, in this study we avoided performing a  
146 protein extraction step prior to analysis using the VITEK MS system, even when the  
147 VITEK MS provided no identification or a split identification. Although this was done in  
148 order to challenge the system in the most stringent fashion, the addition of an extraction  
149 step is known improve MALDI-TOF MS performance [37], and had it been applied it  
150 may have influenced our findings.

151 In summary, MALDI-TOF MS using the VITEK MS v2.0 system provides an  
152 accurate, fast, inexpensive and technically non-demanding means of discriminating  
153 between *S. pneumoniae* and other *S. mitis* group species. Adoption of this method in the  
154 clinical laboratory may improve the ability to make this clinically-relevant distinction.

155

#### 156 **Acknowledgements**

157 This work was funded by bioMérieux, Inc. MJF, JAB, and JAR have received research  
158 funding from bioMérieux and Becton Dickinson for other research investigations, but do  
159 not have a financial interest in either company. CDG is employed by bioMérieux, Inc. in  
160 the R&D division.

161 **References**

- 162 1. **Munoz R, Fenoll A, Vicioso D, Casal J.** 1990. Optochin-resistant variants of  
163 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 13(1):63-6.
- 164 2. **Phillips G, Barker R, Brogan O.** 1988. Optochin-resistant *Streptococcus*  
165 *pneumoniae*. *Lancet* 2(8605):281.
- 166 3. **Pikis A, Campos JM, Rodriguez WJ, Keith JM.** 2001. Optochin resistance in  
167 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: mechanism, significance, and clinical implications. *J*  
168 *Infect Dis* 184(5):582-90.
- 169 4. **Arbique, JC, Poyart C, Trieu-Cuot P, Quesne G, Carvalho Mda G,**  
170 **Steigerwalt AG, Morey RE, Jackson D, Davidson RJ, Facklam RR.** 2004.  
171 Accuracy of phenotypic and genotypic testing for identification of *Streptococcus*  
172 *pneumoniae* and description of *Streptococcus pseudopneumoniae* sp. nov. *J Clin*  
173 *Microbiol* 42(10):4686-96.
- 174 5. **Spellerberg B, Brandt C.** 2011. *Streptococcus*, p 331-349. In Versalovic J,  
175 Carroll KC, Funke G, Jorgensen JH, Landry ML, Warnock DW (ed). *Manual of*  
176 *clinical microbiology*, 10<sup>th</sup> ed. Washington, DC.
- 177 6. **Keith ER, Podmore RG, Anderson TP, Murdoch DR.** 2006. Characteristics of  
178 *Streptococcus pseudopneumoniae* isolated from purulent sputum samples. *J Clin*  
179 *Microbiol* 44(3):923-7.
- 180 7. **Wessels E, Schelfaut JJ, Bernards AT, Claas EC.** 2012. Evaluation of several  
181 biochemical and molecular techniques for identification of *Streptococcus*  
182 *pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pseudopneumoniae* and their detection in  
183 respiratory samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 50(4):1171-7.



- 184 8. **Borek AP, Dressel DC, Hussong J, Peterson LR.** 1997. Evolving clinical  
185 problems with *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: increasing resistance to antimicrobial  
186 agents, and failure of traditional optochin identification in Chicago, Illinois,  
187 between 1993 and 1996. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 29(4):209-14.
- 188 9. **Richter SS, Heilmann KP, Dohrn CL, Riahi F, Beekmann SE, Doern GV.**  
189 2008. Accuracy of phenotypic methods for identification of *Streptococcus*  
190 *pneumoniae* isolates included in surveillance programs. *J Clin Microbiol*  
191 46(7):2184-8.
- 192 10. **Obregon V, Garcia P, Garcia E, Fenoll A, Lopez R, Garcia JL.** 2002.  
193 Molecular peculiarities of the *lytA* gene isolated from clinical pneumococcal  
194 strains that are bile insoluble. *J Clin Microbiol* 40(7):2545-54.
- 195 11. **Ikryannikova LN, Lapin KN, Malakhova MV, Filimonova AV, Ilina EN,**  
196 **Dubovickaya VA, Sidorenko SV, Govorun VM.** 2011. Misidentification of  
197 alpha-hemolytic streptococci by routine tests in clinical practice. *Infect Genet*  
198 *Evol* 11(7):1709-15.
- 199 12. **Teles C, Smith A, Ramage G, Lang S.** 2011. Identification of clinically relevant  
200 viridans group streptococci by phenotypic and genotypic analysis. *Eur J Clin*  
201 *Microbiol Infect Dis* 30(2):243-50.
- 202 13. **Park HK, Yoon JW, Shin JW, Kim JY, Kim W.** 2010. *RpoA* is a useful gene  
203 for identification and classification of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from the closely  
204 related viridans group streptococci. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 305(1):58-64.

- 205 14. **Suzuki N, Seki M, Nakano Y, Kiyoura Y, Maeno M, Yamashita Y.** 2005.  
206 Discrimination of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from viridans group streptococci by  
207 genomic subtractive hybridization. J Clin Microbiol 43(9):4528-34.
- 208 15. **Bizzini A, Jatou K, Romo D, Bille J, Prod'homme G, Greub G.** 2011. Matrix-  
209 assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry as an  
210 alternative to 16S rRNA gene sequencing for identification of difficult-to-identify  
211 bacterial strains. J Clin Microbiol 49(2):693-6.
- 212 16. **Dupont C, Sivadon-Tardy V, Bille E, Dauphin B, Beretti JL, Alvarez AS,**  
213 **Degand N, Ferroni A, Rottman M, Herrmann JL, Nassif X, Ronco E,**  
214 **Carbonnelle E.** 2010. Identification of clinical coagulase-negative staphylococci,  
215 isolated in microbiology laboratories, by matrix-assisted laser  
216 desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry and two automated  
217 systems. Clin Microbiol Infect 16(7):998-1004.
- 218 17. **Marko DC, Saffert RT, Cunningham SA, Hyman J, Walsh J, Arbefeville S,**  
219 **Howard W, Pruessner J, Safwat N, Cockerill FR, Bossler AD, Patel R, and**  
220 **Richter SS.** 2012. Evaluation of the Bruker Biotyper and Vitek MS matrix-  
221 assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry systems for  
222 identification of nonfermenting gram-negative bacilli isolated from cultures from  
223 cystic fibrosis patients. J Clin Microbiol 50(6):2034-9.
- 224 18. **Justesen US, Holm A, Knudsen E, Andersen LB, Jensen TG, Kemp M, Skov**  
225 **MN, Gahrn-Hansen B, Moller JK.** 2011. Species identification of clinical  
226 isolates of anaerobic bacteria: a comparison of two matrix-assisted laser

- 227 desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry systems. J Clin Microbiol  
228 49(12):4314-8.
- 229 19. **Mellmann A, Cloud J, Maier T, Keckevoet U, Ramminger I, Iwen P, Dunn J,**  
230 **Hall G, Wilson D, Lasala P, Kostrzewa M, Harmsen D.** 2008. Evaluation of  
231 matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry in  
232 comparison to 16S rRNA gene sequencing for species identification of  
233 nonfermenting bacteria. J Clin Microbiol 46(6):1946-54.
- 234 20. **Fedorko DP, Drake SK, Stock F, Murray PR.** 2012. Identification of clinical  
235 isolates of anaerobic bacteria using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-  
236 time of flight mass spectrometry. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 31(9):2257-62.
- 237 21. **Verroken A, Janssens M, Berhin C, Bogaerts P, Huang TD, Wauters G,**  
238 **Glupczynski Y.** 2010. Evaluation of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-  
239 time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of *Nocardia* species. J Clin  
240 Microbiol 48(11):4015-21.
- 241 22. **Jamal WY, Shahin M, Rotimi VO.** 2013. Comparison of two matrix-assisted  
242 laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry  
243 methods and API 20AN for identification of clinically relevant anaerobic bacteria.  
244 J Med Microbiol 62(Pt 4):540-4.
- 245 23. **Nagy E, Maier T, Urban E, Terhes G, Kostrzewa M.** 2009. Species  
246 identification of clinical isolates of *Bacteroides* by matrix-assisted laser-  
247 desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Clin Microbiol Infect  
248 15(8):796-802.

- 249 24. **Cherkaoui A, Hibbs J, Emonet S, Tangomo M, Girard M, Francois P,**  
250 **Schrenzel J.** 2010. Comparison of two matrix-assisted laser desorption  
251 ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry methods with conventional  
252 phenotypic identification for routine identification of bacteria to the species level.  
253 *J Clin Microbiol* 48(4):1169-75.
- 254 25. **Stevenson LG, Drake SK, Murray PR.** 2010. Rapid identification of bacteria in  
255 positive blood culture broths by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time  
256 of flight mass spectrometry. *J Clin Microbiol* 48(2):444-7.
- 257 26. **Ferroni A, Suarez S, Beretti JL, Dauphin B, Bille E, Meyer J, Bougnoux ME,**  
258 **Alanio A, Berche P, Nassif X.** 2010. Real-time identification of bacteria and  
259 *Candida* species in positive blood culture broths by matrix-assisted laser  
260 desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. *J Clin Microbiol*  
261 48(5):1542-8.
- 262 27. **Neville SA, Lecordier A, Ziochos H, Chater MJ, Gosbell IB, Maley MW, van**  
263 **Hal SJ.** 2011. Utility of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight  
264 mass spectrometry following introduction for routine laboratory bacterial  
265 identification. *J Clin Microbiol* 49(8):2980-4.
- 266 28. **Davies AP, Reid M, Hadfield SJ, Johnston S, Mikhail J, Harris LG,**  
267 **Jenkinson HF, Berry N, Lewis AM, El-Bouri K, Mack D.** 2012. Identification  
268 of clinical isolates of alpha-hemolytic streptococci by 16S rRNA gene  
269 sequencing, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass  
270 spectrometry using MALDI Biotyper, and conventional phenotypic methods: a  
271 comparison. *J Clin Microbiol* 50(12):4087-90.

- 272 29. **van Veen SQ, Claas EC, Kuijper EJ.** 2010. High-throughput identification of  
273 bacteria and yeast by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight  
274 mass spectrometry in conventional medical microbiology laboratories. *J Clin*  
275 *Microbiol* 48(3):900-7.
- 276 30. **Rychert J, CA Burnham, M Bythrow, O Garner, C Ginocchio, R**  
277 **Jennemann, M Lewinski, R Manji, B Mochon, G Procop, S Richter, L Sercia,**  
278 **L Westblade, MJ Ferraro, J Branda.** 2013. Multicenter evaluation of the  
279 VITEK MS MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry system for the identification of  
280 gram-positive aerobic bacteria. *J Clin Microbiol* (in press).
- 281 31. **Schmidt TM, Relman DA.** 1994. Phylogenetic identification of uncultured  
282 pathogens using ribosomal RNA sequences. *Methods Enzymol* 235:205-22.
- 283 32. **Verhelst R, Verstraelen H, Claeys G, Verschraegen G, Delanghe J, Van**  
284 **Simaey L, De Ganck C, Temmerman M, Vanechoutte M.** 2004. Cloning of  
285 16S rRNA genes amplified from normal and disturbed vaginal microflora  
286 suggests a strong association between *Atopobium vaginae*, *Gardnerella vaginalis*  
287 and bacterial vaginosis. *BMC Microbiol* 4:16.
- 288 33. **Poyart C, Quesne G, Boumaila C, Trieu-Cuot P.** 2001. Rapid and accurate  
289 species-level identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci by using the *sodA*  
290 gene as a target. *J Clin Microbiol* 39(12):4296-301.
- 291 34. **Glazunova OO, Raoult D, Roux V.** 2009. Partial sequence comparison of the  
292 *rpoB*, *sodA*, *groEL* and *gyrB* genes within the genus *Streptococcus*. *Int J Syst*  
293 *Evol Microbiol* 59(Pt 9):2317-22.

- 294 35. **Werno AM, Christner M, Anderson TP, Murdoch DR.** 2012. Differentiation  
295 of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from nonpneumococcal streptococci of the  
296 *Streptococcus mitis* group by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of  
297 flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol 50(9):2863-7.
- 298 36. **Devulder G, Perriere G, Baty F, Flandrois JP.** 2003. BIBI, a bioinformatics  
299 bacterial identification tool. J Clin Microbiol 41(4):1785-7.
- 300 37. **Bizzini A, Durussel C, Bille J, Greub G, Prod'hom G.** 2010. Performance of  
301 matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for  
302 identification of bacterial strains routinely isolated in a clinical microbiology  
303 laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 48(5): 1549-54.  
304

305 Table 1. Performance of the VITEK MS v2.0 system in distinguishing *S. pneumoniae*  
 306 from non-pneumococcal *S. mitis* group species.

	Identification by Reference Methods	
	<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	Non-pneumococcal species
VITEK MS identification		
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	94	1
Non-pneumococcal species	0	108
Split identification <sup>a</sup>	0	7
No identification	1	0
Total	95	116

307  
 308 <sup>a</sup>For these isolates, more than one possible identification was reported by the VITEK MS  
 309 instrument.

310

311

312 Table 2. Resolution of discrepancies between original conventional identification and VITEK MS identification.

313

Original conventional identification	VITEK MS identification	Identification based on reference methods	Reference methods applied	Number of isolates
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	<i>S. pseudopneumoniae</i>	<i>S. pseudopneumoniae</i>	BS, Opt, HProbe, 16S, sodA, groEL <sup>a</sup>	3
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	<i>S. mitis</i>	BS, HProbe, 16S, sodA <sup>b</sup>	2
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	<i>S. parasanguinis</i>	<i>S. australis</i>	16S, sodA	2
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	<i>S. parasanguinis</i>	Probable <i>S. australis</i>	16S, sodA, groEL, recA	2
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	<i>S. parasanguinis</i>	<i>S. parasanguinis</i>	VGP	12
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	Probable <i>S. infantis</i>	BS, Opt, HProbe, 16S, sodA, groEL, recA	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	<i>S. cristatus</i>	<i>S. cristatus</i>	16S, sodA	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	<i>S. cristatus</i>	<i>S. mitis</i>	16S, sodA	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	<i>S. pseudopneumoniae</i>	<i>S. mitis</i>	BS, Opt, VGP, HProbe, 16S, sodA	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	Split: <i>S. mitis/oralis</i> ; <i>S. parasanguinis</i>	Probable <i>S. infantis</i>	16S, sodA, groEL, recA	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	Split: <i>S. anginosus</i> ; <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> ; <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> ; <i>Lactobacillus casei</i>	<i>S. mitis</i>	16S, sodA	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	Split: <i>S. mitis/oralis</i> ; <i>S. sanguinis</i>	<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	VGP	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	Split: <i>S. parasanguinis</i> ; <i>Fingoldia magna</i>	<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	VGP	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	Split: <i>Prevotella denticola</i> ; <i>Parvimonas micra</i> ; <i>S. parasanguinis</i>	<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	VGP	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	Split: <i>S. mitis/oralis</i> ; <i>S. intermedius</i>	<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	VGP	1
<i>S. mitis/oralis</i>	Split: <i>S. parasanguinis</i> ; <i>Bifidobacterium</i> sp.	<i>S. sanguinis</i>	VGP	1

314

315 **Note:** BS, bile solubility testing using the tube method; Opt, optochin susceptibility testing in parallel using CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched air and

316

ambient air; HProbe, AccuProbe *Streptococcus pneumoniae* DNA hybridization probe; 16S, DNA sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene;



317 *sodA*, DNA sequencing of the *sodA* gene; *groEL*, DNA sequencing of the *groEL* gene; VGP, VITEK 2 GP card; *recA*, DNA  
318 sequencing of the *recA* gene.

319 <sup>a</sup> One of these 3 isolates was also analyzed by sequencing the *recA* gene.

320 <sup>b</sup> One of these 2 isolates was also analyzed by sequencing the *groEL* gene.

321

322